



**MINUTES OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY COUNCIL
OF THE CITY OF STACY IN THE COUNTY OF CHISAGO
AND THE STATE OF MINNESOTA PURSUANT TO DUE
CALL AND NOTICE THEREOF
SPECIAL MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL
SEPTEMBER 10, 2020, 7:00p.m.
MEETING HELD AT 30309 FOREST BLVD, STACY MN**

Call to Order

The meeting was called to order by Mayor Utecht at 7:00pm.

Present

Present: Mark Utecht, Mark Ness, Tony Olivolo, and Dennis Thieling

Absent: None

Others Present: Dan Boyum and Members of the Public (list of the invitees: Jenna Fyksen, Christina Johnson, Tom Wenzel, Suzan Raymond, Mallory & Joshua Meyer, FRB Properties (Stacy Commons), Ashley Newham, Dennis Sander, Randy Nelson, John & Tammy Evasku, Jessica & Matt Thompson, Noah Robinette, Tracy Merrell, Tom & Mary Ann Stuen, Joe & Lindsey Clymer, Lilane Nkongolo, Jodi Stensrud, Jackie Rausch, Grant Eickhoff, Michael Massie, MGM Tech).

Purpose of the Special Meeting

The purpose of the Special Meeting is to discuss a potential 311th Street Project (West of Forest Boulevard).

Presentation

City Engineer, Dan Boyum, presented information regarding the proposed project. Excerpts of the presentation are attached.

Discussion

Members of the public asked questions which were addressed by the engineer and City Council.

The following questions were posed by the public:

1. Where would the rain garden locations be?
2. What is the road setback?
3. What is the impact going to be on my driveway?
4. How will the storm water run?
5. Where will the storm water run?
6. Can they have their driveways paved with the project?

The project may include assessments to property; Mayor Utecht stated that the assessment cannot exceed the increase to the property value.



Public Summary on the Project

Mayor Utecht summarized what the property owners want:

- Street reconstruction with curb and gutter
- Route stormwater to the West

Meeting Adjourned at 8:00p.m.

Motion by Ness to adjourn. Second by Utecht. Members Ness, Olivolo, Thieling, and Utecht voted yes. Mayor Utecht declared the motion carried.

Sharon MT Payne

DRAFT



Purpose of the Special Meeting

- ❑ Discuss Potential 311th Street Improvements
 - ❑ Review Location Plan
 - ❑ Review Background on Past Discussions/Analysis
 - ❑ Review Options
 - ❑ Review Estimated Costs
 - ❑ Review Next Steps
 - ❑ Questions and Feedback



Project Location



Background on Past Discussions/Analysis



- ❑ Improvements discussed with Pavement Management Plan.
- ❑ Reviewed drainage options in a 2016 Engineering Memo.
 - ❑ 311th has ponding areas during heavy rains or spring thaws.
 - ❑ Looked at new storm sewer avoiding street replacement and tree removals.
 - ❑ Discussed capacity restrictions in the County's storm sewer.
 - ❑ Discussed storm sewer piping to west and rain gardens.
 - ❑ Discussed narrower ROW, title research, easements, etc.

Background on Past Discussions/Analysis



- ❑ Past Resident and Other Feedback
 - ❑ Keep a narrow road width.
 - ❑ Do not lose any trees or grass area.
 - ❑ Fire and Sheriff Departments were okay with narrower street widths.
 - ❑ Residents did not want to proceed with a project at that time.
- ❑ Road Conditions - Seeing more pavement deterioration.

Background on Past Discussions/Analysis



311th Street looking East from Trail



311th Street looking east

Background on Past Discussions/Analysis



311th Street looking East



311th Street looking East near CSAH 30



Review Options

- Street Improvements
 - Option 1 - Reclaim and Repave (+/- 20')
 - Grind and mix existing bituminous and aggregate base together.
 - Pave 3.5" of bituminous over reclaimed aggregate base.

 - Option 2 - Reconstruction (+/- 20') w/ Curb and Gutter (C&G)
 - Use surmountable concrete C&G due to narrower street width.
 - Replace existing gravel and bituminous with some subgrade corrections.



Review Options

- Drainage Improvements
 - Option 1 - Draintile and Structures - Route to East (CR 30)
 - The County confirmed limited capacity in CSAH 30 system.
 - Cannot route 12” or larger pipe to the East due to capacity restrictions, so propose smaller diameter pipe/draintile.

 - Option 2 - Storm Sewer and Structures - Route to West (CR 19)
 - Connection would be at existing storm sewer in Foster Avenue.
 - Capacity of CR 19 storm sewer system would need further evaluation.

Review of Options





Review Estimated Costs

Summary of Opinion of Probable Costs

Item	Option 1	Option 2	Comments
Reclamation of 311th Street - Trail to Forest Boulevard (CR 30)	\$137,000		Soil borings could be taken to confirm existing bituminous and aggregate base section. If the total is less than 8", more gravel may need to be added to the street.
Reconstruction of 311th - Trail to CR 30		\$299,200	Higher costs than reclamation are due to curbs or excavation, aggregate base, and curb and gutter costs. Reconstructed roads with curbs and gutter improvements will have a longer life than a reclaimed road.
Drainile and Structures - Route to East (CR 30)	\$45,800		County has provided information on limited capacity. Drainile or smaller diameter piping would help with drainage in low spots and reduce the time period of ponding.
Storm Sewer and Structures - Route to West (CR19)		\$172,600	City would need to show that our additional storm sewer flows would not affect the County's pipe's ability to perform during a storm event. There's no guarantee that the flows would not affect the County's system ability, but we are further upstream than some of the adjacent drainage to the CR 19 systems.
Total Project Costs (Includes contingencies and indirect costs)	\$177,800	\$431,800	

Notes(s):

- (1) Rainwater Gardens could be incorporated into the project to help with capacity issues. Estimates from 2016 for such gardens with inflation would be \$56,000 to \$84,000.
- (2) If street work continued from Foster Avenue to the Trail, project costs would increase by +- \$55,000 (Reclamation & drainile) and +- \$38,200 (Reconstruction).
- (3) Reclaiming or Reconstructing the street would allow the drainile or storm sewer piping to be placed close to the edge of the road, thus reducing the need for as many easements as discussed in 2018.



Next Steps

- ❑ Feedback from Property Owners on whether you would want the Council to proceed with authorizing a feasibility study based on information shared at the Special Meeting.
- ❑ Council review feedback at upcoming Council Meeting.
- ❑ Council discuss proceeding with feasibility report that would review feasibility, more detailed costs, funding options, preliminary assessments, etc.
- ❑ Future Public Hearing would be held to discuss findings of the feasibility report.